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Does 2 Peter belong in the New Testament? Some brethren are saying the evidence leans in favor of 2 Peter being a genuine book of the inspired New Testament, but they cannot be dogmatic about it. What evidence are these diffident “leaners” looking at? Why do we have the 27 books in our New Testament, and not others such as the Shepherd of Hermas?

The answers to these questions involve an understanding of the Canon. The word canon comes from the Greek word “kanan” literally meaning “straight staff”, hence a “measuring rod”, denoting “rule”. When we speak of the canonicity of books, we are speaking of books that meet a standard whereby they are recognized as authoritative.

The historical record is clear. By the later fourth century, the 27 books we have in our New Testament, including 2 Peter, were considered the authoritative books to live by and by which to conduct the affairs of the church. The councils of Laodicea (A.D.366), Hippo (A.D. 393), and Carthage (A.D. 397) all declared these 27 books as “canonical”. It was not until Philoxenus (A.D. 508) presented a new version of the Syriac Bible that these same 27 books were recognized as part of the canon of the New Testament in the East as they are in the West.

Early in the second century, a recognized list of authoritative books was needed. The need arose to establish what books should be read in church services, even though some that could be read might not be authoritative in settling doctrinal questions. Also, the church needed to know what books could be turned over in times of persecution to imperial police without committing sacrilege. Other lists had also appeared. Marcion, in A.D. 140, produced a list which emphasized his anti-Semitism. For example, he listed Luke, the least Jewish of the Gospels as authoritative, along with ten of Paul’s epistles, omitting three. At the end of the second century, a second list appeared. It is called the “Muratorian Fragment” because it was published in 1740 by Cardinal Muratori. It recognized many of the books of our New Testament today as authoritative. The Shepherd of Hermas is mentioned as worthy to be read, but not recognized as among the authoritative prophetic or apostolic writings inspired by God.

During the third century, there is dispute over 2 Peter. Origen (A.D. 185-254) states that 2 Peter along with Hebrews, 2 and 3 John, James and Jude, were “disputed by some”. Eusebius (A.D. 265-340) acknowledged all the books of our New Testament as authoritative except James, Jude 2 Peter and 2 &3 John, which he says were disputed by some, but accepted “by the majority”.

By the time of the councils of the fourth century, they merely recognized what had become generally accepted. 2 Peter was now accepted along with Hebrews, James, Jude, 2 & 3 John and Revelation. If one cannot be dogmatic about 2 Peter, due to disputes, one cannot be dogmatic about these other books as well, or 25% of our New Testament. While there were disputes by some, the majority had already accepted the books. The councils merely “codified” what was already acknowledged as authoritative.